

# Utilizing Weak Supervision to Create S3D: A Sarcasm Annotated Dataset

Jordan Painter, Diptesh Kanojia, Helen Treharne



UNIVERSITY OF  
SURREY

# Roadmap

- Motivations - 'Why?'
- Contributions - 'What?'
- Methodology - 'How?'
- Results
- Conclusions



# Motivation

Sarcasm Detection: Task of identifying if a given extract of data is sarcastic

- Use of sarcasm on social media can have diminishing effects on other NLP tasks (Sentiment Analysis).
- Small number of publicly available sarcasm detection datasets, many have decreased in size over time as tweets get deleted by users.
- All current methods of dataset annotation rely on trusting a user's own judgement (self-annotation), or the slow process of manually annotating data.
- Lack of comparative analysis between state-of-the-art language models for the task of sarcasm detection.

“Oh yeah this phone is fantastic, I just love how the battery dies 3 hours after charging”



# Contributions

## Datasets:

**SAD** - A dataset of 2,340 tweets, scraped by observing a #sarcasm hashtag, then manually annotated by 3 annotators.

**S3D** - A dataset of 100,000 tweets, annotated using our novel approach of weak supervision.

A performance **evaluation** of existing language models and datasets for the binary classification task of sarcasm detection.

Release of our code, data and models on both GitHub and HuggingFace publicly for the research community.



# Existing Datasets

Dataset	Total	Training	Validation	Testing	Sarcastic	Non-Sarcastic
<b>SARC</b>	1,010,773	707,541	151,616	151,616	505,368	505,405
<b>Ptacek</b>	4,906	3,434	736	736	2,781	2,125
<b>SemEval</b>	3,817	2,671	573	573	1,901	1,916
<b>Riloff</b>	710	497	106	107	160	550

**Ptacek** - 4,096 self-annotated tweets - #sarcasm

**SemEval 2018** - 3,817 manually annotated tweets

**Riloff** - 710 manually annotated tweets

**SARC** - Over 1,000,000 Reddit self-annotated Reddit comments – '/s'



# SAD

- Using TWINT, we collected tweets containing a #sarcasm hashtag.
- Every sarcastic tweet would then become a tweet pair, by searching for a recent tweet by the same user that didn't contain #sarcasm
- Tweet pairs were then manually annotated by three annotators
- A total of 2340 tweets annotated for sarcasm



# Methodology

- Six datasets were used for training: four pre-existing, our new SAD dataset and a final 'combined' dataset.
- Every text extract was pre-processed to remove punctuation and capitalisation. Usernames were replaced with the generic '@user'.
- All examples of '#sarcasm' were removed from relevant datasets.
- This pre-processed data was used to fine-tune five language models.



# Language Models

- **BERT**
- **RoBERTa<sub>base</sub>**
- **RoBERTa<sub>large</sub>**
- **BERTweet** - A BERT model pre-trained using the RoBERTa pre-training procedure on a corpus of 850M tweets.
- **Twitter-RoBERTa** - A RoBERTa<sub>base</sub> model pre-trained on ~58M tweets.



# Results

	BERT			BERTweet			RoBERTa <sub>base</sub>			Twitter-RoBERTa			RoBERTa <sub>large</sub>		
	P	R	F1	P	R	F1	P	R	F1	P	R	F1	P	R	F1
<b>SARC</b>	73.91	79.47	76.59	76.52	80.35	<b>78.39</b>	76.23	78.35	77.30	74.89	80.52	77.61	77.65	77.57	77.61
<b>Ptacek</b>	84.46	75.83	<u>79.99</u>	88.86	85.07	<u>86.92</u>	88.41	88.63	<u>88.52</u>	91.46	86.26	<u>88.78</u>	91.50	89.33	<b>90.41</b>
<b>SemEval</b>	59.61	74.83	66.36	69.81	77.62	73.51	78.42	90.21	83.90	78.37	87.41	82.64	81.11	87.06	<b>83.98</b>
<b>Riloff</b>	66.67	35.71	46.51	85.71	42.86	<b>57.14</b>	58.33	50.00	53.85	55.56	53.57	54.54	85.71	42.86	<b>57.14</b>
<b>SAD</b>	65.89	71.21	68.45	77.36	62.12	68.91	81.49	93.43	<b>87.06</b>	82.19	90.90	86.33	86.84	83.33	85.05
<b>Combined</b>	76.46	75.36	75.91	75.99	80.72	<b>78.29</b>	76.00	78.48	77.22	76.68	77.72	77.19	76.15	79.95	78.01

Table 3 in the paper shows the results of our deep-learning experiments, where P, R and F1 denote Precision, Recall and F1-score respectively.

We observed BERTweet achieved the highest F1-score on our largest dataset, 'Combined', of 78.29.



# What is Weak Supervision?

- An approach to machine learning which allows for the creation of much larger datasets, at the expense of them being noisier.
- Use a pretrained model to label data.
- Removes the tediousness of manually annotating data.
- Model has one unified idea of what 'makes something' sarcastic.



# S3D – Our Weakly Supervised Dataset

S3D is a dataset of 100,000 tweets, making it the largest sarcasm annotated dataset of tweets, all labelled by our pre-trained BERTweet model.

Each tweet was pre-processed before being annotated.

The dataset contains 38,879 sarcastic tweets and 61,121 non-sarcastic tweets.

<b>Comment</b>	<b>Label</b>
'@user you look soo freaking good in the poster man'	1
'tweet of the year @user you make sense'	1
'i bet theres no dry eyes leaving the concert tonight	1
'the best joke yet'	1
'wow the war just ended i didnt know that'	1
'truly changed the trajectory of my life'	1
'yes a lot of great things will happen in the next 3 months'	1



# Conclusion and Future Work

- A contribution of a small gold-standard and large silver-standard sarcasm detection dataset.
- An evaluation of multiple datasets and language models for sarcasm detection.
- Perform a more fine-grained annotation for sarcasm with subcategories
- Perform similar experiments for multimodal sarcasm detection



# Thank You!

